

7 July 1978

DDA

MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION

SUBJECT: DIA/CIA Collocation

1. Upon return to the office Friday, 7 July, I had a message to call Mr. G. Carter Baird (695-7928) of the House Appropriations Committee. Before I could do so, I received another call from [redacted] DIA Focal Point Officer for the subject study, who related as follows:

In order to complete design for the DIA proposed building at Bolling AFB, the Comptroller for DOD went to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations and requested their concurrence to reprogram funds for that purpose. The Senate committee concurred but Representative McKay, Head of the House Subcommittee on Military Appropriations, refused to do so. General Tighe and Mr. Perry J. Elias, Deputy Assistant Secretary Installations and Housing, also discussed the matter with him but he still refused pending a report from his studies and investigations staff group as to why the building had to be located in Washington. The staff group consists of Messrs. Baird and Glynn who have offices in the Pentagon. These individuals then discussed the entire project with [redacted] who, with the concurrence of Gen. Tighe and the Secretary of Defense provided them with a copy of the joint DIA/CIA study which recommended against collocation. He did not give them any subsequent documentation supporting collocation although he did indicate to them that the DCI would have preferred if the recommendations had been the opposite of what they were. The House Committee staffers plan to discuss the project with General Tighe on Thursday, 13 July, but prior to doing so asked the name of an Agency contact, and [redacted] provided my name.

2. At the end of the telephone conversation, [redacted] suggested that he, personally, would like the idea of collocation killed and would appreciate any help I could provide. I responded with the obvious that DIA and CIA positions were different but that, in any event, our objective would be to play it totally straight with the House staffers and let them draw whatever conclusions they wished. He then asked for feedback after my discussions with the House staffers which I agreed to provide and was assured that he would do likewise.

Approved For Release 2006/01/03 : CIA-RDP81M00980R001800050022-4

3. It is obvious from the att that DIA is selectively providing information to the House : officers and only reluctantly informed us of what was going on. In view of this, I requested policy guidance from the D/L which he hoped to have firmed-up by Monday, 10 July.

cc: D/L

STAT

Approved For Release 2006/01/03 : CIA-RDP81M00980R001800050022-4

7 July 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Component Plans Staffs

FROM :

:

OLC #78-2619

STAT

SUBJECT : Expired Agency Notices

1. For several months the Regulations Control Branch as a courtesy has been notifying originators when their published notices expire. To avoid possible misunderstanding, we wish to emphasize that this is strictly a courtesy and that originators are responsible for monitoring expiration dates, which usually are one year after publication.

2. If an originator wishes to extend a notice beyond the expiration date, the Regulations Control Branch should be notified at least one month in advance. This will allow time to obtain DDA approval and to publish the notification of extension. (Once expired, a notice cannot be extended.)

3. Generally, extension is considered the easiest method to keep a notice current, but it is the least satisfactory because such notices remain in the manuals of the custodians and are not recirculated to Agency personnel. One justification for extension is that it provides a little more time to update and incorporate the material into a pending revision of a regulation or handbook.

4. Sometimes it is appropriate to update and republish an expired notice as a reminder to employees of useful current information. Such a notice will bear a new date and number. Nevertheless, notices are considered to be transitory in nature and it is general policy that material of lasting value be incorporated into a regulation or handbook. This policy has been followed reasonably well in the past and by continued cooperation the integrity of the regulatory system can be preserved.

STAT

cc: C/ISAS

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE 7 JUL 1978
TO: Legislative Counsel		
ROOM NO. 6-D-15	BUILDING Hqs.	
REMARKS:		
DDA		
FROM:		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION
FORM NO. 241 1 FEB 55		
REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED.		